

HENRY C. LEE COLLEGE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND FORENSIC SCIENCES



## THIS MEETING DID CONTAIN A PRESENTATION – UPDATES AND DISCUSSION

## JJPOC Diversion Workgroup November 4, 2022 11:00-12:30 Virtual Meeting – ZOOM

## Summary

- Dillinger Data gave a Landscape presentation on data they collected on Connecticut YSB's and JRBs
  - This report discusses:
    - The context for the analysis
    - How data was collected
    - In-depth analysis regarding the JRB and YSB data
    - Recommendations based on the data
  - The recommendations have also been disseminated to DCF and CYSA for implementation
  - This analysis was done because the Youth Initiative Taskforce gave recommendation to collect more data and the JJPOC approved that recommendation
    - Found that it was hard to measure the effect of YSB/JRBs, so this data was designed to identify barriers that YSBs and JRBs were facing
    - Since much data is not online, this analysis focused more on if the programs were functioning in accordance with State laws and mandates
    - 94% of JRBs and 96% of YSBs in the state participated
  - Wanted to differentiate the situations of different YSBs- look at budgeting, types of core staff, types of youth, the city
  - Each question were scored from 1-3 (each section was averaged for an overall score)
    - 1: Room for Growth and Support
    - 2: Striving for Success
    - 3: Maximizing Impact
  - 7 benchmarks for YSBs were identified
    - ACU function
    - Equity and Inclusion
    - Data Collection and Use
    - Standards and Guidelines
    - Training and Staffing
    - Community Hub
    - Identify, Match and Access Services
  - 7 benchmarks for JRBs were identified
    - Restorative Justice
    - Equity and Diversity
    - Individual Plans
    - Standards and Guidelines
    - Training and Staffing



0

HENRY C. LEE COLLEGE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND FORENSIC SCIENCES



- Data Driven
- Youth and Family Involvement
- The analysis attempted to identify what a typical YSB and JRB looks like
  - A lot of variation
- Were able to identify shifts and trends in data for the overall state
- Based on tiering JRBs excel in Youth and Family Involvement but could improve Training and staff, all other categories were in the middle of these two
  - Barrier in Staffing and Training is constrained resources (money, time, and people)
  - Discussed looking into offering online, on-demand training
- Based on tiering YSBs do best in ACU Functions but could improve in Data Collection and Use (scored lower than JSBs overall)
- Discussion regarding major drivers for success (looked at top 20% of YSBs and JRBs then compared the two)
  - YSBs with more community partners, more successful
  - JRBs with more resources in community and those who followed protocols are more successful
- Overall feedback was that the survey was educational because it gave YSBs and JRBs an idea of what data to collect
- Each YSB and JRB was given report with their data, state data, and recommendations
- Discussed computerizing YSB and JRB data as well as next step of shorter analysis to see improvements
- Discussion regarding the relationships between schools and YSBs
- Discussion regarding Alternatives to Arrest Subgroup Recommendations
  - Final bill from the initial report did not pass last session, therefore an addendum is being added for this year
    - Most of the offenses listed are already being diverted, it is just occurring postarrest (discussed again later in meeting regarding idea that diversion will not overwhelm YSBs)
  - Discussion regarding the offense being diverted only the first two times, otherwise it would completely decriminalize the act (decriminalization was recommended at one point)
    - If diversion is unsuccessful, the case if referred to the individual that made the original referral
    - Serving agency will know but law enforcement won't need to share data
  - $\circ$  A referral form was created which will create consistency
  - Updates regarding planning to train "feeder systems" (schools, law enforcement, etc.) on diversion programming
  - Reminder that this was created because some offenses were being referred to court more in some communities than in others, goal to create consistency
- Discussion regarding the recommendations of the Raise the Age Subgroup



HENRY C. LEE COLLEGE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND FORENSIC SCIENCES



- Discussion regarding what age to raise it to (plan is to raise it to 12 then hopefully 14 later)
  - Data highly supports moving age to 12
  - There are other bills being raised that may support moving the age to 12-14
- o Recommendation to do implementation report on year into implementation (July 1, 2024)
- The addendum draft will be available the 18<sup>th</sup>, then edits will be made, and the final draft will go to the JJPOC at the December 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting
  - Brief discussion about recommendations from:
    - Incarceration work group: commissary
    - Community expertise work group: membership